

Orthopaedic Surgery I-PASS Intervention Leads to Sustained Improvement in Quality of Patient Handoffs

*Derek S. Stenquist, MD; Caleb Yeung, MD; Laura Rossi, PhD, RN; Antonia F. Chen, MD, MBA; Mitchel B. Harris, MD
Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA, United States*

Purpose: Interventions to improve patient handoff communication have been shown to reduce medical errors. The I-PASS tool (Illness severity, Patient summary, Action list, Situational awareness, Synthesis by receiver) is a structured handoff tool that reduces errors and preventable adverse events. Adoption of I-PASS on surgical services has been inconsistent. We evaluated the quality of handoffs and sustainability of a multicenter I-PASS protocol intervention for orthopaedic surgery.

Methods: This was a prospective, interventional study of a multicenter handoff improvement program conducted at two Level I trauma centers. A preintervention handoff needs assessment survey was administered to orthopaedic providers, followed by electronic handoff and communication training to introduce a standardized handoff template that adapted the I-PASS tool specifically for orthopaedic surgery patients. Handoff quality was evaluated preintervention and at 1, 6, and 9 months postintervention; handoffs were analyzed for the key data elements defined by I-PASS. A postintervention survey was administered to providers at 6 months postintervention.

Results: 605 electronic patient handoffs were analyzed. 56 orthopaedic providers (nurse practitioners, physician assistants, residents) completed the handoff needs assessment survey. 56% of respondents reported they were “sometimes” or “often” uncertain about making a clinical decision as they lacked patient information from a handoff; 91% of respondents stated they would support a standardized electronic handoff template. Adherence to the standardized handoff format increased substantially over the study period (72% at 1 month, 89% at 6 months, and 93% at 9 months). From preintervention to the 9-month time point, significant improvement was noted in 8 of 9 targeted quality elements (two identifiers, illness severity, past medical history, action list, situational awareness, contingencies, anticoagulation plan, and antibiotic plan, $P = 0.006$ for two identifiers, $P < 0.001$ for all others). 54 providers (73% response rate) completed the postintervention survey. 70% felt that the intervention improved communication and patient safety; 60% felt it reduced patient errors and near-misses. 87% stated they would support implementation as a requirement for new trainees moving forward.

Conclusion: This prospective study analyzed the impact of a handoff improvement program on the quality of handoff communication for orthopaedic inpatients. The implementation of the handoff protocol produced a high, sustained compliance rate from a group of over 50 orthopaedic providers. Objective quality of handoffs improved significantly as defined by the I-PASS gold standard. Nearly 90% of providers supported its ongoing use, with a 70% stating that communication and patient safety improved. This intervention is the first example of I-PASS for orthopaedic surgery and has the potential to prevent adverse events and reduce medical errors by standardizing care.

The FDA has stated that it is the responsibility of the physician to determine the FDA clearance status of each drug or medical device he or she wishes to use in clinical practice.