

Non-Operative Versus Operative Treatment of Z-Type Comminuted Clavicle Fractures in Adolescents: A Prospective Substratified Cohort Analysis

*Coleen S. Sabatini, MD, MPH; Eric W. Edmonds, MD; Elizabeth S. Liotta, MBBS; Katelyn A. Hergott, MPH; Donald S. Bae, MD; Michael T. Busch, MD; Henry B. Ellis, MD; Mininder S. Kocher, MD, MPH; G. Ying Li, MD; Jeffrey J. Nepple, MD; Nirav K. Pandya, MD; Andrew T. Pennock, MD; Crystal A. Perkins, MD; David D. Spence, MD; David N. Williams, PhD; Samuel C. Willimon, MD; Philip L. Wilson, MD; Benton E. Heyworth, MD
Boston Children’s Hospital, Boston, MA, United States*

Purpose: This study was conducted to assess clinical, radiographic, and patient-reported outcomes (PROs) in adolescents with comminuted «Z-type» midshaft clavicle fractures by comparing nonoperative and operative subcohorts.

Methods: A subcohort analysis was performed from a prospective observational cohort study at 8 tertiary-care pediatric centers on 909 patients 10 to 18 years old treated for a diaphyseal clavicle fracture, specifically evaluating patients with comminuted Z-type fracture patterns. 82 patients with Z-type comminuted fractures (37 that were treated nonoperatively and 45 treated operatively) were included, 60 (73%) of whom provided 2-year PROs. We compared operative and nonoperative cohorts with regard to complications and validated PROs.

Results: The only significant difference in demographic or fracture characteristics between the two cohorts was shortening, which was greater in the operative cohort (23 mm vs 29 mm, $P = 0.01$). After controlling for this confounder through both regression and matched subgroup analysis, nonoperative versus operative cohorts showed no difference in rates of nonunion (none), delayed union (0% vs 2%, $P = 1.0$), symptomatic malunion (3% vs 0%, $P = 0.4$), refracture (3% vs 4%, $P = 1.0$), unexpected surgery (5% vs 11%, $P = 0.45$), or clinically significant complications (5% vs 16%, $P = 0.17$). There were no differences in PROs between cohorts, even when controlling for fracture shortening.

Conclusion: In this comparative investigation of complications and 2-year PROs in adolescents with comminuted Z-type clavicle fractures, nonoperative and operative treatment demonstrated similar outcomes.

PRO	Non-Operative (n=26)	Operative (n=34)	p-value*
ASES			
Mean Score (SD)	99.0 (4.8)	97.0 (8.1)	1.00
Patients (%) with Score <90 [†]	1 (4.2%)	2 (5.7%)	
QuickDASH			
Mean (SD)	0.4 (1.8)	2.2 (4.6)	0.50
Patients (%) with Score >10 [‡]	0 (0.0%)	2 (5.9%)	
EQ-VAS			
Mean (SD)	94.5 (5.0)	92.6 (7.4)	0.50
Patients (%) with Score <80 [†]	0 (0.0%)	2 (5.9%)	
EQ-5D Score			
Mean (SD)	0.98 (0.1)	0.95 (0.1)	1.00
Patients (%) with Score <0.80 [†]	1 (3.8%)	1 (2.9%)	
General Satisfaction			
Mean (SD)	1.5 (0.8)	1.4 (0.7)	0.30
Patients (%) with Score >2 [‡]	4 (15.4%)	2 (5.9%)	
Marc Shoulder Activity			
Mean (SD)	11.8 (5.5)	12.9 (5.8)	0.53
Patients (%) with Score <7 [†]	4 (15.4%)	8 (17.6%)	

*Due to a severely skewed distribution of PRO scores seen on interim analyses, thresholds were established for dichotomized score adjustments for both “ceiling effects” (ASES, EQ-VAS, EQ-5D, Marc Shoulder) and “floor effects” (QuickDASH, General Satisfaction). P-values were calculated for the distribution of patients with suboptimal scores, rather than for mean scores.

See the meeting app for complete listing of authors’ disclosure information. Schedule and presenters subject to change.

PAPER ABSTRACTS